Procedure for suspected research misconduct

Justified and **sincere statement of suspicion** by a whistleblower (always under protection by the institution concerned)
[see § 19, 22, 24]

JGU **Ombudsperson**, committee „Ombudsman für die Wissenschaft (DFG)
[see § 22]

Investigation of suspicion
[see § 22]

No reasonable suspicion:
discontinuation of the proceedings [see § 24]

Reasonable suspicion:
Application to initiate proceedings [see § 24]

Convening of the commission by the whistleblower, dean or Executive University Board
[see § 25]

Commission for the Investigation of Suspected Research Misconduct
[see § 23]

Investigation of suspicion
- Non-public consultation
- Usually decision within 6 months
[see § 25]

Research misconduct **not proven**

JGU **Executive University Board**

- Examination of the report
- Forwarding to responsible committees/institutions of JGU
- Initiation of appropriate measures
[see § 25]

Research misconduct **proven**:
- Report to the Executive University Board
- Recommendation for further proceedings
[see § 25]

Discontinuation of the proceedings
[see. § 25]

Possible sanction
based on the report and recommendations of the ombudsperson and commission [see. § 27], for example:
- eservice/ criminal law
- academic
- civil law

consequences;
withdrawal of unpublished publications and correction of published publications [see attachment].

CC BY SA

See Regulations for ensuring good research practice of JGU § 22-27/
www.grp.uni-mainz.de

JGU